

# BRITISH VIEW

MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL



Anthropologie, Applied Linguistics, Applied Physics, Architecture, Artificial Intelligence, Astronomy, Biological Sciences, Botany, Chemistry, Communication studies, Computer Sciences, Computing technology, Cultural studies, Design, Earth Sciences, Ecology, Education, Electronics, Energy, Engineering Sciences, Environmental Sciences, Ethics, Ethnicity and Racism Studies, Fisheries, Forestry, Gender Studies, Geography, Health Sciences, History, Interdisciplinary Social Sciences, Labour studies, Languages and Linguistics, Law, Library Studies, Life sciences, Literature, Logic, Marine Sciences, Materials Engineering, Mathematics, Media Studies, Medical Sciences, Museum Studies, Music, Nanotechnology, Nuclear Physics, Optics, Philosophy, Physics, Political Science, Psychology, Publishing and editing, Religious Studies, Social Work, Sociology, Space Sciences, Statistics, Transportation, Visual and Performing Arts, Zoology and all other subject areas.

### **Editorial board**

**Dr. Marcella Mori** Agrochemical Research Centre, Sciensano, Brussels, Belgium.

**Dr. Sara Villari** Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della Sicilia, Palermo, Italy.

**Dr. Loukia V. Ekateriniadou** Hellenic Agricultural Organization, Thessaloniki, Greece.

**Dr. Makhkamova Feruza** Tashkent Pediatric Medical Institute Uzbekistan

**Prof. Dr. Xhelil Koleci** Agricultural University of Tirana, Albania.

**Prof Dr. Dirk Werling** The Royal Veterinary College, London, UK.

**Dr. Otabek Yusupov** Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages

**Dr. Alimova Durdona** Tashkent Pediatric Medical Institute

**Dr. Jamol D. Ergashev** Tashkent Pediatric Medical Institute

**Dr. Avezov Muhiddin Ikromovich** Urgench branch of Tashkent Medical Academy

**Dr. Jumaniyozov Khurmatbek Palvannazirovich** Urgench state university

**Dr. Karimova Aziza** Samarkand Institute of Economics and Service

**Dr. Rikhsikhodjaeva Gulchekhra** Tashkent State Transport University

**Dr. David Blane** General Practice & Primary Care, University of Glasgow, UK

**Dr Raquel Gómez Bravo** Research Group Self-Regulation and Health, Institute for Health and Behaviour, Department of Behavioural and Cognitive Sciences, Faculty of Humanities, Education, and Social Sciences, University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg

**Dr. Euan Lawson** Faculty of Health and Medicine, University of Lancaster, UK

**Dr. Krsna Mahbubani** General practice, Brondesbury Medical Centre/ University College London, UK

**Dr. Patrick Redmond** School of Population Health & Environmental Science, King's College London, UK

**Dr. Lecturer Liz Sturgiss** Department of General Practice, Monash University, Australia

**Dr Sathish Thirunavukkarasu** Department of Global Health, Population Health Research Institute, McMaster University, Canada

**Dr. Sarah White** Department of Biomedical Sciences, Macquarie University, New Zealand

**Dr. Michael Gordon Whitfield** NIHR Health Protection Research Unit in Healthcare-Associated Infections and Antimicrobial Resistance, Imperial College London, UK

**Dr. Tursunov Khatam** Andijan State Medical Institute Uzbekistan

Manuscripts typed on our article template can be submitted through our website here. Alternatively, authors can send papers as an email attachment to [editor@britishview.co.uk](mailto:editor@britishview.co.uk)

Editor Multidisciplinary Journals

Website: <http://britishview.co.uk>

Email: [editor@britishview.co.uk](mailto:editor@britishview.co.uk)

## **Comparative study of clinical and laboratory parameters using various protocols of controlled ovulation stimulation.**

**Mamadaliyeva D.M., Gafurova F.A.**

Center for the Development of Professional Qualifications of Medical Workers,  
Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Perinatal Medicine, Tashkent

**Abstract.** The urgency of the problem of unsuccessful outcomes of the in vitro fertilization (IVF) program encourages the search for ways to optimize not only at the preparation stage, but also in the process of stimulation with gonadotropic drugs using monopreparation and combined schemes.

**Keywords:** assisted reproductive technologies, in vitro fertilization, ovarian stimulation protocols, recombinant gonadotropins, gonadoliberin agonists or antagonists.

### **Introduction.**

According to the literature, during the entire reproductive period of a woman, follicles in the ovaries go through various stages of development, ranging from the primordial to the preovulatory. The growth and development of follicles occurs under the influence of various paracrine and endocrine factors. There is evidence of the effect of the level of anti-Muller hormone (AMH) on the quality of oocytes [5,6,9] and the relationship with the morphology of the embryo [8]. Hormones such as gonadotropins and anti-muller play an important role in follicle recruitment and inhibition of apoptosis processes. Considering that more than 99% of primordial follicles undergo atresia, the antiapoptotic effect of exogenously administered FSH during controlled stimulation in the in vitro fertilization program leads to the growth and maturation of several dominant follicles in one treatment cycle [7].

The use of gonadotropic hormones to induce superovulation made it possible to increase the effectiveness of IVF and embryo transfer (PE) in the 80s of the last century [2,8]. Recombinant drug analogues have a number of undoubted advantages, since their production technology does not require urine collection, the recombinant

product does not contain viral, protein, carbohydrate and steroid impurities, has high biochemical uniformity and consists of the most active fractions of the hormone, which, apparently, contributes to the production of significantly more mature oocytes and, accordingly, high-quality embryos. qualities. In order to optimize the IVF and PE method, new developments are currently underway, including the development of new drugs and the selection of adequate hormonal stimulation regimens.

**The purpose of the study** – optimize the selection of individual protocols of adequate hormonal stimulation protocols IVF.

### **Materials and methods.**

257 women receiving infertility treatment in IVF programs at the Department of Assisted Reproductive Technologies at the Eramed Clinic from May 2021 to May 2023 were examined.

In accordance with the set goal and objectives for conducting a comparative analysis of the induced cycle, the studied patients were divided into 4 groups. Group 1A consisted of 60 patients who were stimulated with r-FSH with the addition of human menopausal gonadotropin (HMG) and the introduction of a GnRH agonist from the beginning of stimulation (day 2-3 of the menstrual cycle), group 1B included 54 patients who were stimulated with r-FSH with the addition of HMG and the introduction of a GnRH antagonist from the 6th-7th day of stimulation, group 2A included 50 patients who were stimulated with r-FSH with the addition of r-LH and the administration of a GnRH agonist from day 2-3, and group 2B consisted of 42 patients who were stimulated with r-FSH with the addition of r-LH and the administration of a GnRH agonist from day 6-7 of ovulation stimulation.

Follicle puncture was performed 36 hours after administration of the ovulatory dose of the trigger (Pregnil, Organon) under intravenous anesthesia and transvaginal ultrasound control using an adapter for attaching a puncture needle. Follicular fluid was aspirated using a special vacuum suction under a negative pressure of 130-150 mm of water column. The follicular fluid was placed under a stream of transmitted light on a worktable of a Zeiss binocular microscope. The obtained oocytes were cultured in Origio nutrient medium (Denmark) sequential culture system in droplets

under a layer of Origio mineral oil (Denmark) in special incubators at a temperature of 37°C, a CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 5-6% and a gas humidity of 98-100% in the incubator. The resulting sperm was centrifuged after dilution (30 minutes) in an 80/40 silane particle density gradient (Suprasperm, Origio, Denmark). After removal of the filler fluid, the culture medium of Sperm Preparation Medium (Origio, Denmark) was layered on the precipitate. This step was repeated twice, then tubes with sperm sediment were placed in an incubator for activation. Fertilization was performed with activated spermatozoa. The sediment with actively motile spermatozoa (0.5 ml) was carefully selected and placed on a clean well of culture four-well cups in a CO<sub>2</sub> incubator. 4 hours after the follicle puncture (40 hours after the ovulation trigger was introduced), the ICSI technique was performed. Developing embryos were cultured to the blastocyst stage in a sequential media system (ICM1 BlastAssist, Origio, Denmark) for 3-5 days separately from the left and right ovaries. Statistical data processing was performed on an individual computer using Microsoft Excel spreadsheets and the Statistica for Windows v.6.1 application software package, StatSoft Inc. (USA).

### **Results and Discussion.**

The initial clinical and anamnestic and clinical and laboratory parameters of patients with various stimulation protocols were analyzed.

The data of the comparative analysis of the clinical and anamnestic parameters of the patients of the 4 study groups are presented in Table 1.

Based on the results of the analysis, it can be seen that the patients of all the studied groups were comparable in terms of clinical and anamnestic parameters. It is worth noting that group 1A patients had an older average age ( $36.1 \pm 3.9$  years), and group 2A patients were more likely to have primary (35.7%) and longer-term ( $9.3 \pm 5.3$  years) infertility than patients in other groups, but the differences were not statistically significant.

Table 1.

Characteristics of clinical and anamnestic parameters in patients with various stimulation protocols

| Indicator                             | Group 1A<br>r-FSH +<br>HMG and<br>GnRH<br>agonist (from<br>day 2-3)<br><br>n=60 | Group 1B<br>r-FSH +<br>HMG and<br>GnRH<br>antagonist<br>(from day<br>6-7)<br><br>n=54 | Group 2A<br>r-FSH +<br>r-LH and<br>GnRH<br>agonist (from<br>day 2-3)<br><br>n=50 | Group 2B<br>r-FSH +<br>r-LH and<br>GnRH<br>antagonist<br>(from day 6-7)<br><br>n=42 |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| Age, years                            | 35,7±4,3  | 34,2±4,1*   | 36,2±4,1   | 36,5±4 <sup>^^</sup>  |
| Menarche's age, years                 | 13,6±1,4  | 13,6±1,5  | 13,4±1,3   | 13,8±1,4  |
| MC duration, days                     | 27,4±6,7  | 29,8±7,9*   | 29,2±7,6   | 27,6±6,7  |
| Body mass index,<br>kg/m <sup>2</sup> | 25,5±5,2  | 23,8±5,7  | 23,7±5,3 <sup>^</sup>  | 25,1±6,1  |
| Duration<br>of infertility, years     | 9,7±4,9   | 11,7±5,7*   | 10,7±5,5   | 10,3±5,1  |
| Primary infertility                   | 19 (31,7%)  | 17 (31,5%)  | 18 (36%)   | 13 (31%)  |
| OR                                    | 1,01 (0,46 – 2,23)  |   | 1,25 (0,52 – 3)  |   |
| Secondary<br>infertility              | 41 (68,3%)  | 37 (68,5%)  | 32 (64%)   | 29 (69%)  |
| OR                                    | 0,99 (0,45 – 2,19)  |   | 0,8 (0,33 – 1,91)  |   |

Note – Statistically significant differences at \* P<0.05, \*\* P<0.01, \*\*\* P<0.001 – to group A, <sup>^</sup> P<0.05, <sup>^^</sup> P<0.01, <sup>^^^</sup> P<0.001 – to the corresponding group 1.

The analysis showed that the studied patients had comparable data on the initial ovarian reserve, as indicated by basal levels of FSH, AMH, ovarian size and the number of antrum follicles. However, the number of antral follicles in all 4 groups corresponded to a low ovarian reserve. The average basal levels of blood hormones were within the limits of the nominative values. It should be noted that the level of estradiol in group 2B (66.4±68.1 pg/ml) was significantly higher than in groups 1A (49.5±58.9 pg/ml) and 2A (49.5±53.5 pg/ml) (p1A-2B=0.006; p2A-2B=0.034).

This fact can be explained by the fact that patients in group 2B had a slightly larger number of antrum follicles (6.1±3.1) (p>0.05) than patients in other groups, which contributed to a higher level of basal estradiol.

Table 2.



Characteristics of clinical laboratory and ultrasound parameters  
 patients have different stimulation protocols

| Indicator                                      | Group 1A<br>r-FSH +<br>HMG and<br>GnRH<br>agonist<br>(from day 2-3)<br>n=60 | Group 1B<br>r-FSH +<br>HMG and<br>GnRH<br>antagonist<br>(from day 6-7)<br>n=54 | Group 2A<br>r-FSH +<br>r-LH and<br>GnRH agonist<br>(from day 2-3)<br>n=50 | Group 2B<br>r-FSH +<br>r-LH and GnRH<br>antagonist<br>(from day 6-7)<br>n=42 |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Basal LH, mIU/ml                               | 4,8±1,9   | 4,2±1,6  | 5±1,8   | 4,4±1,4*   |
| Basal FSH, mIU/ml                              | 7,5±2,2   | 6,9±2,4  | 8,1±2,5   | 6,6±2,2**  |
| Basal E2, pg/ml                                | 68,4±21,1   | 56,1±15,8<br>***   | 81,7±29,8<br>^^   | 85,6±31,7<br>^^^   |
| Basal P, ng/ml                                 | 14,9±4,8  | 11,1±3,9<br>***  | 12,2±4,7<br>^^  | 14,6±5,4<br>*^^^   |
| Basal AMH, ng/ml                               | 1,7±0,5   | 2,2±0,7***   | 1,3±0,4^^^  | 1,2±0,4^^^   |
| Volume of the left ovary, cm <sup>3</sup>      | 7,7±4,9   | 8,2±5,1  | 8,1±4,5   | 8,1±4,9  |
| Volume of the right ovary, cm <sup>3</sup>     | 7,6±3,8   | 7,2±4,1  | 7,3±4,3   | 6,6±4,4  |
| The number of antral follicles in both ovaries | 6,8±2,7   | 6,5±2,5  | 6,2±2,3   | 6,8±2,7  |

Note – Statistically significant differences at \* P<0.05, \*\* P<0.01, \*\*\* P<0.001 – to group A, ^ P<0.05, ^^ P<0.01, ^^ P<0.001 – to the corresponding group 1.

The analysis showed that the initial hormonal and ultrasound parameters of the ovaries in the patients of the two groups did not differ statistically. The average basal level of FSH, AMH, and ovarian volume corresponded to indicators of normal ovarian reserve. However, the number of antral follicles in both group 1 (r-FSH + HMG protocol) - 6.0±3.0, and in group 2 (r-FSH + r-LH) – 5.7±3.1 corresponded to indicators of low ovarian reserve (less than 5-7 follicles in both ovaries).

**Conclusion.**

Thus, the comparative analysis showed that the patients of the two groups were completely comparable in terms of clinical, anamnestic, laboratory and ultrasound

parameters, mainly related to late reproductive age and had a reduced ovarian reserve, which was a predisposing factor to a weakened ovarian response during stimulation.

### References:

1. Denisenko, M.V. Dynamics of ovarian follicular reserve formation /M. V. Denisenko, M.A. Kurtzer, L.F. Kurilo //Andrology and genital surgery. 2016. Vol. 17, No. 2. pp. 20-28.

2. Assessment of the health status of women using assisted reproductive technology programs /L.A. Gerilovich, A.T. Egorova, M.I. Bazina, D.A. Maiseenko // Medical almanac. – 2015. Vol. 39, No. 4. – pp. 76-79.

3. Vascular endothelial dysfunction in young women with premature ovarian insufficiency/ Mamadalieva D.M., Gafurova F.A.// "Youth. Science. Innovation" Scientific work of young scientists. – 2024. No. 1. – pp. 37-38.

4. Violations of the antithrombogenic activity of the vascular walls in premature ovarian insufficiency and their pharmacological correction/ Mamadalieva D.M., Gafurova F.A.// "Youth. Science. Innovation" Scientific work of young scientists. – 2024. No. 1. – pp. 37-38.

5. The effectiveness of IVF protocols in patients with normogonadotropic anovulation depending on the activity of ovarian aromatase / P.P.Yakovlev, I. Y. Kogan, M. A. Tarasova, I.D. Mekina [et al.] // Obstetrics and gynecology. – 2018. – No. 12. – pp. 56-62.

6. Adamson, G.D. Why are multiple pregnancy rates and single embryo transfer rates so different globally, and what do we do about it? / G.D. Adamson, R.J. Norman // Fertil. Steril. – 2020. – Vol. 114. № 4. - P. 680-689.

7. A logistic model to predict early pregnancy loss following in vitro fertilization based on 2601 infertility patients / Y.Yi, G. Lu, Y. Ouyang, G. Lin [ et al.] // Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol. – 2016. – Vol.31. – P. 14-15.

8. Antimullerian hormone as predictor of implantation and clinical pregnancy after assisted conception: a systematic review and meta-analysis / R. Tal, O. Tal, B. Seifer, B.J. Seifer [ et al.] // Fertil. Steril. – 2015. – Vol. 103. № 1. – P. 119-130.



9. A prediction model for live birth and multiple births within the first three cycles of assisted reproductive technology / B. Luke, B. Morton, M.B. Brown [et al.] //Fertil. Steril. – 2014. – Vol. 102, № 3. – P. 744-752.